# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/774,869  | 02/09/2004                               | Song-Hua Shi         | 884.698US2          | 8825             |  |
| 21186 7590 05/18/2007<br>SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A.<br>P.O. BOX 2938 |  |                      | EXAM                | EXAMINER         |  |
|   |  |                      | IM, JUNG            | IM, JUNGHWA M    |  |
| MINNEAPOL   | INEAPOLIS, MN 55402  ART UNIT PAPER NUMB |                      | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |  |
|   |  |                      | 2811                |                  |  |
|   |  |                      |                     |                  |  |
|   |  |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
| •   |  |                      | 05/18/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| •   |   | Application No.  | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|---|---|--|--------------|--|--|
| Office Action Summary   |   | 10/774,869   | SHI ET AL.   |  |  |
|   |   | Examiner   | Art Unit     |  |  |
|   | ,   |  |              |  |  |
|   | The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | Junghwa M. Im  | 2811         |  |  |
| Period fo   |   |  |              |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |  |              |  |  |
| Status  |   |  |              |  |  |
| 1)🖂   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Fe   | ebruary 2007.  | ·            |  |  |
| 2a)   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.  |  |              |  |  |
| 3)  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |              |  |  |
|   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |              |  |  |
| Disposit  | ion of Claims   |  |              |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7.8.17-19 and 21-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1.3-5.7.8 and 23-25 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  |   |  |              |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |              |  |  |
| <ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>   |   |  |              |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |              |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>  |   |  |              |  |  |
| 2) Notice 3) Infor  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: |              |  |  |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 1, 2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 4-5 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 4 and 5 recite the limitation "removing the film; and thereafter curing includes: curing the underfill material that is between the die and the mounting substrate." It is confusing since the instant invention does not disclose that curing the underfill material between the die and the mounting substrate after removing the film.

Claim 23 recites the limitation of "a first heating source ...; and a second heating source." It is confusing since this aspect is not disclosed in the instant invention.

Art Unit: 2811

Claim 25 recites the limitation "... a mold press that gives shape to the film." Note that the instant invention does not disclose this aspect. And furthermore, the film is a thin layer stretched over the package, therefore, the mold press is not for shaping the film.

Claim 24 is dependent on claim 23.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,703,299. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

It appears that pending claim 1 and claim 6 of '299 patent are identical. And note that pending claim 3 recites the limitation that is substantially identical to one of the limitations in pending claim 1. Therefore, pending claims 1 and 3 are recited substantially identical to claim 6 of '299 patent.

Pending claim 7 is recited in claims 9 and 11 of '299 patent in a substantially identical manner except a minor difference in wording. It is also noted that pending claim 7 is substantially identical to claim 7 of '299 patent except the mere variance in wording.

Pending claim 8 is recited in claim 8 of '299 patent in a substantially identical manner.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on (571) 272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,869

Art Unit: 2811

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Junghwa M. Im

Examiner

Art Unit 2811

jmi